DEFINITION OF THE EVALUATION DOMAIN

Adult General Education

Diversified Basic Education Program

Mathematics

ALGEBRAIC AND GRAPHICAL MODELLING IN A GENERAL CONTEXT 1

MTH-4151-1

August 2019

Québec

Table of Contents

Introduction 1

Evaluation Content 2

Explanation of the Evaluation Content 3
- Evaluation Criteria 3
- Proficiency in Subject-Specific Knowledge 4
- Weighting 4
- Knowledge 4

Specifications for the Evaluation Instruments 5
- Examination: Number of Parts, Sections, Procedure and Duration 5
- Examination Content 5
- Information-Gathering Tools 5
- Authorized Materials 6
- Assessment Tools 6
- Pass Mark 7
- Retakes 7

Appendix 9
- Criterion-Referenced Rubrics 11

Introduction

The Definition of the Evaluation Domain (DED) ensures consistency between a course and the related evaluation instruments. The DED is used to select, organize and describe the essential and representative elements of the course. The DED is based on the program of study and the course, but should by no means replace them in the planning of instructional activities.

All the DEDs produced after June 30, 2014, by the Ministère de l'Éducation et de l'Enseignement supérieur (MEES) are prescriptive. Consequently, they are the reference documents to be used in the development of all examinations, be they ministerial or those developed by adult education centres or by Société GRICS (BIM). The DEDs thus serve as a model for preparing multiple equivalent versions of examinations that are valid across the province.[1]

In addition, as set out in the *Policy on the Evaluation of Learning*, adult learners must know what they will be evaluated on and what is expected of them.[2] The DEDs and the criterion-referenced are recommended for this purpose.

[1] Québec, Ministère de l'Éducation du Québec, *Policy on the Evaluation of Learning* (Québec: Gouvernement du Québec, 2003), 47.
[2] Ibid., 9.

Evaluation Content

General Information	
Broad Areas of Learning[3] • Environmental Awareness and Consumer Rights and Responsibilities • Career Planning and Entrepreneurship **Subject Area** • Mathematics, Science and Technology **Family of Situations** • Relationship between quantities	**Program of Study** • Mathematics **Course** • Algebraic and Graphical Modelling in a General Context 1
Essential Elements Targeted by the Evaluation	
Subject-Specific Competencies 1. Uses strategies to solve situational problems 2. Uses mathematical reasoning 3. Communicates by using mathematical language	**Categories of Knowledge** • Relation, function and inverse • System
Evaluation Criteria	
Evaluation Criteria for Competency 1 1.1 Indication (oral or written) that the situational problem has been understood 1.2 Application of strategies and appropriate mathematical knowledge **Evaluation Criteria for Competency 2** 2.1 Correct use of appropriate mathematical concepts and processes 2.2 Proper implementation of mathematical reasoning suited to the situation 2.3 Proper organization of the steps in an appropriate procedure	Proficiency in Subject-Specific Knowledge Proficiency in subject-specific knowledge presupposes its acquisition, understanding, application and mobilization, and is therefore linked with the evaluation criteria for the competencies.

[3] The broad areas of learning are stated exactly as in the course. However, the person who designs the evaluation instrument may choose other broad areas of learning.

Explanation of the Evaluation Content

Evaluation Criteria

The evaluation criteria are stated exactly as in the course.

Not all the evaluation criteria for the course are used in the examination. Nevertheless, the adult learner must receive feedback on all of them during the learning process.

The evaluation criteria used in the examination are presented below. They are associated with Competency 1, *Uses strategies to solve situational problems* and Competency 2, *Uses mathematical reasoning*.

Competency 3, *Communicates by using mathematical language*, is not specifically evaluated for the purpose of certification and recognition. However, as it is an essential part of all mathematical activities, this competency has been taken into account in the assessment tools provided to help teachers come to a judgment.

Information Clarifying the Evaluation Criteria

1.1 Indication (oral or written) that the situational problem has been understood

This criterion evaluates the adult learner's ability to identify what is required in accordance with the wording of the problem and to extract relevant information, taking into account the constraints involved in the mathematical processing of the situation.

1.2 Application of strategies and appropriate mathematical knowledge

This criterion evaluates the adult learner's ability to use relevant strategies to select appropriate knowledge in order to solve the problem.

2.1 Correct use of appropriate mathematical concepts and processes

This criterion evaluates the adult learner's ability to properly apply the mathematical knowledge and skills required to solve the problem.

2.2 Proper implementation of mathematical reasoning suited to the situation

This criterion evaluates the adult learner's ability to use logical reasoning by drawing upon the appropriate knowledge and skills.

2.3 Proper organization of the steps in an appropriate procedure

This criterion evaluates the adult learner's ability to present a structured procedure that complies with mathematical notation and conventions. The answer is consistent with the adult learner's procedure and the context of the situational problem.

Proficiency in Subject-Specific Knowledge

Proficiency in subject-specific knowledge is assessed through the evaluation of competencies, using tasks related to the evaluation criteria.

For this course, certain knowledge is explicitly evaluated.

Weighting

The weighting for the evaluation of the competencies is determined in accordance with the *Framework for the Evaluation of Learning* in general education in the youth sector.

Competency 1, *Uses strategies to solve situational problems*: 30%

Competency 2, *Uses mathematical reasoning*: 50%

The weighting corresponding to the knowledge that is explicitly evaluated is 20%.

The weighting of the evaluation criteria appears in the assessment tools provided in the *Marking Guide*. Adult learners must be made aware of the evaluation criteria used to evaluate them and the corresponding weighting of each criterion.

Knowledge

All the categories of knowledge and all the items of prescribed knowledge are covered in the examination. However, for a given item of prescribed knowledge, it is not necessary to include all of the items listed in the *Restrictions and Clarifications* column of the table of prescribed knowledge for the course.

Subject-Specific Content

Categories of Knowledge	Prescribed Knowledge
Relation, function and inverse	• Experimenting with real functions as well as observing, interpreting, describing and representing them • Describing and interpreting the properties of real functions using a graph
System	• Representing a situation using straight lines • Solving systems of first-degree equations in two variables

Specifications for the Evaluation Instruments

Examination: Number of Parts, Sections, Procedure and Duration

The examination is divided into two sections. These sections are included in a single booklet and must be administered during the same evaluation session, except in certain cases.

Duration: 180 minutes.

Examination Content

The two sections are:

1. Explicit evaluation of knowledge

   In this section, the adult learner must answer application questions.

2. Evaluation of competencies

   This section consists of tasks that the adult learner must complete based on realistic situations. An evaluation situation may cover one, two or three integrative processes as long as all three processes are covered in this section of the examination.

   Here are the three integrative processes:
   - Using an algebraic or graphical model to represent a situation;
   - Interpolating or extrapolating from an algebraic or graphical model;
   - Using an algebraic or graphical model to generalize a set of situations.

Information-Gathering Tools

The *Adult's Booklet* is the information-gathering tool and consists of the following two sections:

1. Explicit evaluation of knowledge

   The adult learner answers short- and long-answer questions.

2. Evaluation of competencies

   The adult learner completes problem-solving tasks.

Authorized Materials

- A scientific or graphic display calculator without a computer algebra system (CAS) and with the memory erased

  Information about the calculator:

  - The calculator must not be able to perform algebraic calculations, factor algebraic expressions or solve equations.
  - The data and programs stored in the calculator's memory must be erased before and after the examination. Before the day of the examination, the adult learner must have been given the opportunity to learn how to reset the calculator's memory to zero.

- A ruler, a set square, a compass, a protractor, rough paper and blank graph paper.
- A memory aid

  Information about the memory aid:

  - The adult learner may prepare a memory aid consisting of no more than one 8½ x 11 inch sheet of paper, with information on one side only. It may be handwritten or typed (minimum 12-point font; single-spaced) and must be approved by the teacher.
  - Examples prepared by the adult learner and mathematical formulas may be included in the memory aid.

Assessment Tools

For the explicit evaluation of knowledge, examples of correct solutions are provided in the *Marking Guide.*

For the evaluation of competencies 1 and 2, the criterion-referenced rubric (one for each competency) is the assessment tool that must be used by the teacher. This judgment must be based on a minimum of two completed tasks. If the adult learner completes only one of the three or four tasks in the examination, they must be given a failing grade. The adult learner should nonetheless be provided with feedback in order to prepare them to retake the examination.

In criterion-referenced interpretation, the information gathered is compared with the outcomes expected of the adult learner.[4] These rubrics are prescriptive and include the following rating scale:

Competency development:

- Advanced
- Thorough
- Acceptable
- Partial
- Minimal

A combined information-gathering tool and rubric is provided to make it easier for makers. It can be found in the *Marking Guide*.

[4] Québec, Ministère de l'Éducation, *Policy on the Evaluation of Learning* (Québec: Gouvernement du Québec, 2003), 28-29.

Pass Mark

The pass mark is 60%.

Retakes

The adult learner must retake the entire examination.

APPENDIX – CRITERION-REFERENCED RUBRICS

Adult General Education

EVALUATION

Criterion-Referenced Rubrics

_____
Adult learner's name

_____
Teacher's name

_____
Date

Diversified Basic Education Program
Mathematics

Course
Algebraic and Graphical Modelling in a General Context 1
MTH-4151-1

Competency 1: Uses strategies to solve situational problems (30%)

Instructions:

- For each criterion, circle the statement(s) that correspond(s) to the adult learner's performance level.
- In the last column, enter the mark from the rubric that most closely corresponds to your assessment of the adult learner's performance level.
- Assign a mark of 0 when the adult learner's performance does not correspond to any of the statements in the rubric.

Rating scale / Evaluation criteria	**Advanced** competency development	**Thorough** competency development	**Acceptable** competency development	**Partial** competency development	**Minimal** competency development	**Mark**
1.1 Indication (oral or written) that the situational problem has been understood	Accurately identifies the relevant information and the required elements. **10**	Identifies, with a fair amount of accuracy, the relevant information and the required elements. **8**	Identifies relevant information and the required elements but does so with insufficient detail. **6**	Rarely identifies the relevant information and the required elements. **4**	Very rarely identifies the relevant information and the required elements. **2**	**___/10**
1.2 Application of strategies and appropriate mathematical knowledge	Always uses relevant strategies to select appropriate knowledge. **20**	Usually uses relevant strategies to select appropriate knowledge. **16**	Sometimes uses relevant strategies to select appropriate knowledge. **12**	Rarely uses relevant strategies to select appropriate knowledge. **8**	Very rarely uses strategies to select appropriate knowledge. **4**	**___/20**
					Mark for Competency 1:	**___/30**

Competency 2: Uses mathematical reasoning (50%)

Instructions:

- For each criterion, circle the statement(s) that correspond(s) to the adult learner's performance level.
- In the last column, enter the mark from the rubric that most closely corresponds to your assessment of the adult learner's performance level.
- Assign a mark of 0 when the adult learner's performance does not correspond to any of the statements in the rubric.

Rating scale / Evaluation criteria	**Advanced** competency development	**Thorough** competency development	**Acceptable** competency development	**Partial** competency development	**Minimal** competency development	**Mark**
2.2 Proper implementation of mathematical reasoning suited to the situation	Always presents coherent procedures; identifies the different steps in the solution and carries them out by drawing on appropriate knowledge and skills. **20**	Usually presents coherent procedures; generally identifies the different steps in the solution and carries them out by drawing on appropriate knowledge and skills. **16**	Presents procedures that are somewhat coherent; usually identifies the main steps in the solution and carries them out by drawing on knowledge and skills that are generally appropriate. **12**	Presents procedures that are mostly incoherent; identifies few of the steps in the solution and these are rarely based on appropriate knowledge and skills. **8**	Has difficulty developing procedures. **4**	**__/20**
2.1 Correct use of appropriate mathematical concepts and processes	Always applies the appropriate mathematical knowledge correctly. **15**	Usually applies the appropriate mathematical knowledge correctly. **12**	Sometimes applies the appropriate mathematical knowledge correctly. **9**	Rarely applies the appropriate mathematical knowledge correctly. **6**	Very rarely applies the appropriate mathematical knowledge correctly. **3**	**__/15**
	Always obtains the correct results. **5**	Usually obtains the correct results. **4**	Sometimes obtains the correct results. **3**	Rarely obtains the correct results. **2**	Very rarely obtains the correct results. **1**	**__/5**

Competency 2: Uses mathematical reasoning (50%) (*cont.*)

Instructions:

- For each criterion, circle the statement(s) that correspond(s) to the adult learner's performance level.
- In the last column, enter the mark from the rubric that most closely corresponds to your assessment of the adult learner's performance level.
- Assign a mark of 0 when the adult learner's performance does not correspond to any of the statements in the rubric.

Rating scale / **Evaluation criteria**	**Advanced** competency development	**Thorough** competency development	**Acceptable** competency development	**Partial** competency development	**Minimal** competency development	**Mark**
2.3 Proper organization of the steps in an appropriate procedure	Always presents clear and structured procedures that follow the conventions of mathematics. **5**	Usually presents clear and structured procedures that follow the conventions of mathematics. **4**	Presents procedures that are somewhat structured or that do not always follow the conventions of mathematics. **3**	Presents procedures that are not very structured or that seldom follow the conventions of mathematics. The steps in the solution are implicit. **2**	Presents procedures that are largely unstructured and does not follow the conventions of mathematics. **1**	**__/5**
	Always gives answers consistent with their procedure and the context. **5**	Usually gives answers consistent with their procedure and the context. **4**	Gives answers that are not completely consistent with their procedure and the context. **3**	Rarely gives answers that are consistent with their procedure and the context. **2**	Very rarely gives answers that are consistent with their procedure and the context. **1**	**__/5**
					Mark for Competency 2:	**__/50**

Éducation
et Enseignement
supérieur
Québec